

JANET M. HEROLD, Regional Solicitor
BORIS ORLOV, Senior Trial Attorney (CA #223532)
KATHERINE E. CAMERON, Trial Attorney (WA #41777)
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Office of the Solicitor
300 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1120
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: (206) 757-6760
Fax: (206) 757-6761
Email: cameron.katherine.e@dol.gov
Attorneys for Eugene Scalia, Secretary,
United States Department of Labor

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EUGENE SCALIA, Secretary of Labor,
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
LABOR,¹

Plaintiff,

v.

TFORCE FINAL MILE WEST LLC, a
Delaware company; and ON COURIER 365,
INC., a California corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-06624 RS-SK

ORDER
STIPULATION TO MODIFY CASE
SCHEDULE AS MODIFIED BY THE COURT

Complaint Filed: November 16, 2017
Trial Date: April 27, 2020

¹ Eugene Scalia was appointed Secretary of Labor effective September 30, 2019. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d) the caption has been changed to reflect the appointment

1 Plaintiff EUGENE SCALIA, Secretary of Labor, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
2 LABOR (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants TForce FINAL MILE WEST LLC (“TForce”) and ON
3 COURIER 365, INC. (“OC 365”) (collectively, “the Parties”), submit this stipulated request for the
4 Court to modify the schedule for good cause under Rule 16(b)(4). Good cause to modify the case
5 schedule exists, for the following reasons:

6 1. On November 16, 2017, Plaintiff initiated this lawsuit by filing a complaint in this
7 Court. ECF 1.

8 2. On March 22, 2018, the Court entered a Scheduling Order, following the initial
9 Case Management Conference. ECF 40. The Order set trial for October 21, 2019. *Id.*

10 3. On October 17, 2018, Magistrate Judge Kim issued an order resolving various
11 disputes between Plaintiff and Defendant TForce regarding the scope of discovery in this case.
12 ECF 51. On November 8, 2018, the Court issued an order denying Defendant TForce’s Motion
13 for Relief from Nondispositive Pretrial Order relating to one portion of Magistrate Judge Kim’s
14 October 17, 2018 discovery order. ECF 56.

15 4. On January 31, 2019, the Parties submitted a stipulated request to modify the case
16 schedule in order to accommodate Plaintiff’s review of approximately 50,000 documents TForce
17 had produced in discovery. ECF 30. That same day, the Court granted the stipulation, continued
18 the trial to February 3, 2020, and modified the pre-trial and discovery deadlines accordingly.
19 ECF 31.

20 5. On July 11, 2019, the Parties submitted a stipulated request to modify the case
21 schedule in order to allow for production and review of a large volume of ESI containing delivery
22 and payment details for hundreds of drivers at issue in this case. ECF 68. The Court granted the
23 stipulation, continued the trial to April 27, 2020, and modified the pre-trial and discovery
24 deadlines accordingly. ECF 69. In a separate order, the Court scheduled a Case Management
25 Conference for January 16, 2020. ECF 71.

26 6. On September 26, 2019, and after several months of good faith collaboration
27 among the Parties, Defendant TForce produced the large volume (over 1 million lines) of ESI
28 data. After preliminary review, Plaintiff believe that significant amount of time is necessary to
process and analyze the data to estimate the damages in this case. It is the Plaintiff’s position that

1 because Defendants did not keep a record of hours worked, work hours in part have to be
2 reconstructed from the dates and times drivers made deliveries. In addition, Plaintiff served a
3 subpoena on a third party, Google, LLC, for ESI delivery detail data for a period of time in which
4 Defendants have represented that the ESI delivery data is not in their possession, custody, or
5 control. The Parties believe that significant amount of time is necessary for all Parties to process
6 and analyze this additional data. The current Settlement Conference date, discovery cutoff and
7 expert disclosure deadlines leave insufficient time for them to complete this and other discovery
8 in time for expert analysis. Thus, good cause exists to modify the schedule.

9 7. Currently, the Parties are set to appear on October 28, 2019, at a Settlement
10 Conference with Magistrate Judge Kandis Westmore. The Parties are not able to meaningfully
11 participate in the settlement conference without first completing preliminary damages
12 assessments, and they are not currently in a position to complete these assessments. Further, if
13 the Parties are able to resolve their dispute at the Settlement Conference, they will avoid the
14 substantial expense associated with expert reports and depositions. Thus, the Parties wish to set
15 the expert disclosure deadline after the Settlement Conference, and continue the trial and pre-trial
16 dates accordingly.

17 NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and request that the Court modify the
18 schedule to reflect the following dates:

- 19 a. Settlement Conference **on or before January 31, 2020**, based on Magistrate Judge
20 Westmore's schedule.
- 21 b. Case Management Conference currently set for January 16, 2020, will be continued
22 ~~to a date convenient for the Court.~~ February 20, 2020.
- 23 c. On or before **March 2, 2020**, the parties shall designate experts.
- 24 d. On or before **April 2, 2020**, the parties shall designate their supplemental and
25 rebuttal experts.
- 26 e. On or before **April 10, 2020**, the Parties shall complete all non-expert discovery.
- 27 f. On or before **May 1, 2020**, the parties shall complete all discovery of expert
28 witnesses.
- g. All pretrial motions shall be heard no later than **June 11, 2020**, or as soon thereafter
as the Court is available.

h. The final pretrial conference shall be held on **August 5, 2020**, or as soon thereafter as the Court is available.

i. Trial shall commence on a date set by the Court on or after **August 31²⁴, 2020**, or as soon thereafter as the Court is available.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 10/7/19



RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge

Respectfully submitted,

DATE: October 4, 2019

s/ Katherine E. Cameron

KATHERINE E.
CAMERON
U.S. Department of Labor

Attorneys for the
Secretary of Labor

s/ Ellen Arabian-Lee

ELLEN C. ARABIAN-
LEE
Arabian-Lee Law Corp.

Attorney for Defendant
On Courier 365, Inc.

s/ Brian Berry

BRIAN D. BERRY
Ogletree Deakins Nash Smoak
& Stewart, P.C.

Attorney for Defendant
TForce Final Mile West, LLC